Thank you very much, I appreciate your testimony,

gentlemen.

What do you say to the charge that the U.N. sanctions have been

so watered down and so weakened that they’re relatively meaningless?

What do we have to give up in order to get Russia and China

on board with this U.N. resolution?

Then the second part of my question—what do

we have to give up, as compared to what we wanted—in order to

get the Russians and the Chinese on board at the Security Council?

Was there a draft proposal that we submitted

that specifically listed some proposals that were taken out in the

final version? I guess that’s my question.

And, what might those have been?

Does it surprise you, Secretary Burns, that

Russia has criticized the announced United States sanctions and

the E.U. sanctions?

And so, would you say it’s fair to characterize

their opposition as being more to the forum of the sanctions, rather

than to the specifics—the specific effects of those sanctions?

OK. With regard to Turkey and Brazil voting

no. Again, I’m asking you to characterize someone else’s point of

view. But, is it their contention that—that we sort of pulled the rug

out from under them, that we had asked them to go forward with

these negotiations with President Lula and President Ertigan,

and—and they felt that they didn’t get enough communication from

the State Department. Is that a fair characterization of their viewpoint?

Do you think this is a flap between the United

States and Turkey and the United States and Brazil, that we’re

going to get past fairly quickly? Are there going to be any longterm

ramifications of this decision on their part?

Thank you very much.